

John Paul

against

Henry Wilson adms of John Wilson dec^d#12 53
Pr. fa. 3^d

This day came the defendant by his attorney and the plaintiff at the solemnly called court and no is the suit further prosecuted. Therefore on the motion of the defendant it is considered by the Court that the defendant answer against the plaintiff for certain damages according to law and his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

Off } In Case
Jt

John Paul

against

Henry Wilson adms of John Wilson dec^d#8 73
Pr. fa. 3^d

This day came the defendant by his attorney and the plaintiff at the solemnly called court and no is the suit further prosecuted. Therefore on the motion of the defendant it is considered by the Court that the defendant answer against the plaintiff for certain damages according to law and his costs by him about his defence in this behalf expended.

Off } In Case
JtMartha Rogers adms of Joseph Rogers dec^d

against

Henry De Kuyper

Thomas A. Miller & Co

against

Louis P. Travis adms of Thomas Travis dec^d

The plaintiff will further prosecute it is therefore ordered that there shall be a demurrer.

Off } In Case
Jt
Off } In Case
Jt

John D. Williams

against

James D. Green

On the motion of the plaintiff by his attorney it is ordered that there shall be a demurrer until the next term at his costs.

Off } In Case
Jt

John D. Williams

against

Thomas Johnson

Off } In Case
Jt#7 92
Pr. fa. 3^d

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the defendant withdrawing his former plea with his counsel giving the plaintiff's action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the defendant the sum of twenty one dollars and twelve cents the debt in the declaration mentioned with legal interest thereon from the 21st day of February 1835 till paid and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the said defendant in Money 3^d

Samuel S. Richardson William A. Spauldine partners as physicians

against

Arthur Mayes adms of Mary Mayes dec^dOff } In Case
Jt

This day came at well the plaintiff by his attorney as the defendant in his proper person and they do agree that the plaintiff have sustained damages by reason of the intestate now deceased of the premises and improvements in the declaration mentioned to forty four dollars and twenty five cents with cost thereon from the 1st day of January 1835 till paid and his costs thereon. Therefore with the consent of the plaintiff it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the

defendant the sum of
forty four dollars and
twenty five cents the
costs of the suit to be
administered

Joseph T. Cole
Edw. Cloud
Therese

Henry West
Thomas P. Cole
The defendant

Thomas A.

Thomas D.
The plaintiff

Richard

against

L. P. Travis

For recovery

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum

of the sum